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## This analysis of attitudes regarding globalization, based on gender, is taken from a sample of 1008 survey participants, 18 years of age or older, living in the United States, (304 respondents were interviewed on a land-line telephone, and 704 were interviewed on a mobile phone, including 469 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted under the direction of SSRS. It is a study of overall attitudes towards globalization with a larger scope of demographic features such as religion, race and political persuasion.

## Importing and Setting Up Libraries:

if (!require('tidyverse')) install.packages('tidyverse')

## Loading required package: tidyverse

## ── Attaching packages ─────────────────────────────────────── tidyverse 1.3.1 ──

## ✓ ggplot2 3.3.3 ✓ purrr 0.3.4  
## ✓ tibble 3.1.0 ✓ dplyr 1.0.5  
## ✓ tidyr 1.1.3 ✓ stringr 1.4.0  
## ✓ readr 1.4.0 ✓ forcats 0.5.1

## ── Conflicts ────────────────────────────────────────── tidyverse\_conflicts() ──  
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()  
## x dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag()

if (!require('haven')) install.packages('haven')

## Loading required package: haven

if (!require('dplyr')) install.packages('dplyr')  
if (!require('knitr')) install.packages('knitr')

## Loading required package: knitr

library(tidyverse)  
library(haven)  
library(dplyr)  
library(knitr)  
  
knitr::opts\_chunk$set(echo = TRUE)

## Importing Dataset from Google Drive and Viewing Dimensions:

us\_data<-read\_sav("/Volumes/GoogleDrive/My Drive/DataSets/United\_States\_April\_2020\_Topline\_WEB\_FINAL.sav")  
  
dim(us\_data)

## [1] 1008 25

## Creating Table of Analytic Interest with Two Columns: Sex of Respondent, and Response: Good, Bad, Both Good and Bad, DK/Refused to Q4: ‘Overall has globalization in the past few years been good for the United States?’ \*Additionally viewing new dimensions at top, still including 1008 rows, but only printing out top 10 here:

tibble\_Q4Ans\_eaGender <-select(us\_data, sex, Q4)   
dim(tibble\_Q4Ans\_eaGender)

## [1] 1008 2

print(tibble\_Q4Ans\_eaGender, n = 10)

## # A tibble: 1,008 x 2  
## sex Q4  
## <dbl+lbl> <dbl+lbl>  
## 1 2 [Female] 2 [Bad]   
## 2 1 [Male] 1 [Good]  
## 3 1 [Male] 1 [Good]  
## 4 2 [Female] 1 [Good]  
## 5 1 [Male] 2 [Bad]   
## 6 2 [Female] 2 [Bad]   
## 7 2 [Female] 1 [Good]  
## 8 2 [Female] 1 [Good]  
## 9 1 [Male] 2 [Bad]   
## 10 1 [Male] 1 [Good]  
## # … with 998 more rows

## Mutate for new ‘Gender’ Column to Show Labels ‘Male’ or ‘Female’ instead of numerical code:

us\_data<-us\_data %>%   
 mutate(Gender = case\_when(   
 sex == 1 ~ 'Male',  
 sex == 2 ~ 'Female'))   
  
select (us\_data, sex, Gender)

## # A tibble: 1,008 x 2  
## sex Gender  
## <dbl+lbl> <chr>   
## 1 2 [Female] Female  
## 2 1 [Male] Male   
## 3 1 [Male] Male   
## 4 2 [Female] Female  
## 5 1 [Male] Male   
## 6 2 [Female] Female  
## 7 2 [Female] Female  
## 8 2 [Female] Female  
## 9 1 [Male] Male   
## 10 1 [Male] Male   
## # … with 998 more rows

## Mutate for new ‘Answers’ Column to Show Labels ‘Bad’,‘Good’, ‘Both Good and Bad’ and ‘DK/Refused’ in place of numerical code:

us\_data <- us\_data %>%   
 mutate(Answers = case\_when(  
 Q4 == 1 ~ 'Good',  
 Q4 == 2 ~ 'Bad',  
 Q4 == 3 ~ 'Both good and bad',  
 Q4 == 9 ~ 'DK/Refused'  
 ))  
select (us\_data, Q4, Answers)

## # A tibble: 1,008 x 2  
## Q4 Answers  
## <dbl+lbl> <chr>   
## 1 2 [Bad] Bad   
## 2 1 [Good] Good   
## 3 1 [Good] Good   
## 4 1 [Good] Good   
## 5 2 [Bad] Bad   
## 6 2 [Bad] Bad   
## 7 1 [Good] Good   
## 8 1 [Good] Good   
## 9 2 [Bad] Bad   
## 10 1 [Good] Good   
## # … with 998 more rows

## Tibble for Labeled Answers per Labeled Gender. \*Only printing top ten here:

Answers\_Per\_Gendr\_Tibble<- select (us\_data, Gender, Answers)  
print(Answers\_Per\_Gendr\_Tibble, n=10)

## # A tibble: 1,008 x 2  
## Gender Answers  
## <chr> <chr>   
## 1 Female Bad   
## 2 Male Good   
## 3 Male Good   
## 4 Female Good   
## 5 Male Bad   
## 6 Female Bad   
## 7 Female Good   
## 8 Female Good   
## 9 Male Bad   
## 10 Male Good   
## # … with 998 more rows

## One Proportional Table for Each Variable, each converted to percent. Then a Crosstab-type table for both, converted to proportional and finally percent:

Perc\_Gendr\_Tibble<- Answers\_Per\_Gendr\_Tibble %>%  
 select(Gender) %>%  
 table() %>%  
 prop.table()\*100  
  
Perc\_Ans\_Tibble<- Answers\_Per\_Gendr\_Tibble %>%  
 select(Answers) %>%  
 table() %>%  
 prop.table()\*100  
  
  
print(Perc\_Gendr\_Tibble)

## .  
## Female Male   
## 48.61111 51.38889

print(Perc\_Ans\_Tibble)

## .  
## Bad Both good and bad DK/Refused Good   
## 43.569292 4.785643 3.190429 48.454636

prop.table(xtabs(~ Gender + Answers, us\_data))\*100

## Answers  
## Gender Bad Both good and bad DK/Refused Good  
## Female 22.033898 2.392822 2.093719 22.033898  
## Male 21.535394 2.392822 1.096710 26.420738

## Observations: We can observe that there is an even split between the answers of ‘Good’ or ‘Bad’ to the question ‘Has globalization in the past few years been good for the United States?’ within female participants (Females: ‘Good’=22.03% and ‘Bad’=22.03%), unlike the the clearly uneven split among male participants (Males: ‘Good’= 26.42% and ‘Bad’= 21.54%)

##It can also be noted, more female participants answered ‘Bad’ in response to the question of globalization for the US in past few years than male participants. 22.03% female participants answered ‘Bad’ vs 21.53% of male participants responding ‘Bad’. Additionally more male participants responded affirmatively to the question, of globalization in past few years being good for the US (Males: ‘Good’=26.42, Females: ‘Good’=22.03). Any difference of value in these 4 comparisons are so slight that they are most likely not statistically significant, but before making this conclusion confidentally, this significance will be measured in a later analysis (Homeworks HW4-6)